

# Insights and Commentary from Dentons

The combination of Dentons and Cohen & Grigsby offers our clients access to 10000+ lawyers in 180+ locations and 70+ countries around the world.

This document was authored by representatives of Cohen & Grigsby prior to our combination's launch and continues to be offered to provide clients with the information they need to do business in an increasingly complex, interconnected and competitive marketplace.

## The Retroactivity of TCPA Regulations and Amendments

By Robert M. Linn, Ingrid A. Bohme and Cezanne S. Harrer<sup>1</sup>

On December 13, 2017, the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit ruled that a 2012 amendment to the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (“TCPA”) excluding liability for phone calls related to the collection of federally funded student loan accounts would not be given retroactive applicability. In *Silver v. Pennsylvania Higher Education Assistance Agency*, No. 16-15664, 2017 WL 6349153 (9th Cir. Dec. 13, 2017), the plaintiff, Neil Silver (“Silver”), appealed the district court’s order granting summary judgment in favor of the Pennsylvania Higher Education Assistance Agency (“PHEAA”) for alleged TCPA violations. Silver argued that the district court erred in retroactively applying the amendment, which was silent as to Congress’s intent on retroactivity, and the Ninth Circuit agreed.

In its brief memorandum opinion, the Ninth Circuit specifically disagreed with the district court’s finding that giving the amendment retroactive affect would not impair rights a party possessed when he acted, increase a party’s liability for past conduct or impose new duties with respect to transactions already completed.<sup>2</sup> While the district court had noted that merely impairing a plaintiff’s ability to bring a lawsuit did not provide a sufficient basis to bar retroactive application of a statute, the Court of Appeals disagreed, stating that retroactively extinguishing a personal claim that has already accrued implicates a strong presumption *against* retroactivity. Because PHEAA’s telephone calls to Silver occurred before the TCPA was amended to permit such calls, the Ninth Circuit reversed the entry of summary judgment in favor of PHEAA and remanded for further proceedings.

The Ninth Circuit’s decision is consistent with previous case law considering the retroactive applicability of amendments to the Federal Communications Commission’s governing regulations. See *e.g.*, *Siding v. Insulation Co. v. Alco Vending, Inc.*, 822 F.3d 886 (6th Cir. 2016) (amended version of the FCC’s TCPA regulation governing the definition of a “sender” would not be applied retroactively); *Kesselman v. GC Servs. Ltd. P’ship*, 2016 WL 9185399, at \*3 (C.D. Cal. Nov. 17, 2016) (noting that “the Supreme Court has held that congressional enactments and administrative rules will not be construed to have retroactive effect unless their language requires the result,” and, accordingly, the 2016 FCC Order would likely not apply retroactively) (internal citations omitted); *Workman v. Navient Sols., Inc.*, 2016 WL 4088716, at \*3 (S.D. Ind. July 27, 2016) (noting that the “FCC’s Notice of Proposed Rulemaking does not say or imply that the anticipated rules will be retroactive”). Thus, any

---

<sup>1</sup> Mr. Linn and Ms. Bohme are directors in Cohen & Grigsby, P.C., a Pittsburgh-based law firm, and Mrs. Harrer is an associate at Cohen & Grigsby.

<sup>2</sup> In its opinion, the district court had noted that applying the amendment retroactively would actually *decrease* liability for past conduct by creating an exception for telephone calls made to collect a federal debt. *Silver v. Pennsylvania Higher Education Assistance Agency*, 2016 WL 1258629, at \*3 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 31, 2016).

amendments to the statute or changes to FCC regulations that may follow the highly anticipated D.C. Circuit Court's decision in *ACA International v. FCC*, No. 15-1211 (D.C. Cir. filed Nov. 25, 2015), likely will not have retroactive effect in the absence of explicit language to the contrary.

If you have any questions about any of the above information, or wish to discuss a particular matter, please feel free to speak with Mr. Linn, Ms. Bohme or any other member of our Litigation Practice by calling us at 412-297-4900 or visiting <https://www.cohenlaw.com/practices/litigation>. To receive future news alerts, please send an e-mail to [bulletins@cohenlaw.com](mailto:bulletins@cohenlaw.com).

Copyright © 2018 by Cohen & Grigsby, P.C. (No claim to original U.S. Governmental material.)

*All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without prior written permission of Cohen & Grigsby, P.C. and is intended to alert the recipients to new developments in the area of commercial litigation law. The hiring of a lawyer is an important decision that should not be based solely on advertisements. Before you decide, ask us to send you free written information about Cohen & Grigsby's qualifications and experience.*