

# 2015 OHSA Caselaw Update

Privilege, Metron, violence, marijuana, experts,  
post-accident fixes, and more

Adrian Miedema

6 November 2015

# Metron update

- Swing N Scaff Inc.: \$350,000 (OHSA)
- Patrick Deschamps, Director of Swing N Scaff: \$50,000 (OHSA)
- Metron Construction Corporation: \$750,000 (Criminal Code – Bill C-45)
- Joel Swartz, Director of Metron: \$90,000 (OHSA)
- Vadim Kazenelson (Project Manager): convicted criminally

# Too much compassion? FacilicorpNB

- Alcoholic employee dismissed - under influence of alcohol at work
- Employer had given employee “leeway”, shown “compassion”
- Employee reinstated: 30 day suspension substituted

# Lawyer's harassment investigation report not privileged: *Durham Regional Police Association*

- Law firm retained by employer to conduct harassment investigation
- Retainer letter
- Not retained to provide legal advice
- Union entitled to investigation report

# Officially induced error defence wins: *D. Crupi & Sons Ltd.*

- *Highway Traffic Act* charge: driving snowplow on highway without permit
- Visit MTO office counter, spoke with MTO official
- Erroneous advice
- Defence of officially induced error

# Employee properly fired for workplace violence threats, despite mental disability: *Bellehumeur v. Windsor Factory Supply Ltd.*

- Employee made violent threats against coworkers
- Employer was unaware of his “mental disability”
- Court: mental disability played no role in dismissal
- No discrimination

# Provincial OHS legislation applied across borders: *Escudero v. Diversified Transportation Ltd.*

- Employee hired in Ontario
- Worked for 3 weeks in B.C., where he raised safety complaints
- Fired when returned to Ontario
- Permitted to bring retaliation claim under Ontario OHSA

# Employee properly fired for discussing inappropriate personal matters at work: *Overwaitea Food Group*

- 28-year employee on last-chance agreement
- Negative comments about women including his wife, swearing, uncomfortable for coworkers
- Also talking about the United States and his political views
- Properly dismissed

# Two superintendents fined in fatality: *Matheson Constructors Ltd.*

- Garage door knocked over scissor lift, worker died
- Superintendents failed to ensure that TTC's lockout procedure followed, contrary to contact with the TTC.
- Convicted, fined \$4,000.00 each personally

# “Zero tolerance” for employee who smoked marijuana on the job: *French v. Selkin Logging*

- Employee operated machine for logging contractor
- Smoked marijuana on the job
- No “marijuana card”
- Employer not required to permit him to smoke marijuana in the workplace without legal and medical authorization

# **MOL engineer not qualified to give expert evidence:** *Advanced Construction Techniques Ltd.*

- Drill rig tipped over, causing one death
- MOL engineer prepared report in which he concluded with his own opinion as to root cause
- Judge: MOL engineer “inextricably bound up with the investigation of this case”.
- Enthusiastic identification with the prosecution during the trial
- Could not be unbiased

# **OHSA did not require employer to issue public response to “smear campaign”: *Ontario (Community Safety and Correctional Services)***

- Jail employee and lawyer made public statements: “white supremacists”
- Union filed grievance: claimed OHSA “general duty” required employer to issue public statement supporting “non-racialized” employees
- Arbitrator: employer acted reasonably. No violation of OHSA

# Post-accident safety fix used against employer in OHS charges: *Precision Drilling Canada Limited*

- Employee died from blunt force blow to the head on drilling rig
- Employer installed interlock / warning device after accident
- Judge permitted prosecutor to call evidence regarding that interlock / warning device – could reasonably have been implemented before accident
- Company found guilty of charges

# “No overtime” note from doctor was obtained due to labour tensions: *Rio Tinto Alcan*

- Employees protested employer policy change by reducing overtime
- B.C. Labour Relations Board ordered end to overtime ban: unlawful strike
- Employee obtained “no overtime” doctor’s note
- Three-day suspension imposed

# Sexual joke was “worse than the usual sexual humour of the workplace” - employee fired for cause: Hydro One Networks Inc.

- Employee upset because female co-worker might be promoted over him
- Made offensive sexual joke with female employee present, likely directed at her
- Also made disparaging, objectifying comment about his wife
- Decision: fired for cause

# Safety contractor wins appeal of administrative penalty: *Safety First Contracting (1995) Limited*

- Providing traffic control services on TCH
- N.S. Safety Officer caught in traffic jam, wrote compliance orders
- Later issued \$1,000.00 administrative penalty alleging traffic control staff were not given appropriate training, facilities and equipment
- Appeal allowed: vague allegations, expert company

## Post-accident remedial measures were a “small bit of common-sense engineering”, relevant evidence in finding company guilty of OHS Act offences



Posted on Oct 22nd, 2015 By **Adrian Miedema**  
Categories: **Caselaw Developments, Prosecutions / Charges**

Share this post:

An employer's post-accident efforts to fix a safety issue were relevant to the issue of whether it had violated the *Occupational Health and Safety Act* at the time of the accident, an Alberta judge has held.



An employee died after sustaining a blunt force blow to his head while working as a “floorhand” on the floor of a drilling rig. The company was charged with two offences under the Alberta *Occupational Health and Safety Act*: failing to ensure the safety of the worker, and failing to eliminate an identified hazard.

Over the company's objections, the judge permitted the prosecutor to call evidence about an interlock/warning device that the company had designed and installed after the accident that would prevent, or at least reduce the risk of, similar accidents. The judge stated:

*“The Defence also argued that public policy favoured not admitting such evidence. In my view, at least for a strict liability regulatory offence the public policy arguments favour admission. The whole tone of the Act is to encourage proactive safe practices designed to prevent rather than react. This requires employers to provide wide efforts at compliance.”*

The court rejected the company's argument that post-accident evidence should not be admitted because it would discourage “innovation and repair” – that is, discourage companies from fixing safety hazards after accidents for fear that the prosecutor could argue that that fix should have been implemented before the accident.

Interestingly, the court also stated, “In not having heard of, let alone used this safety interlock the Defendant may have fallen victim to their own size and expertise in assuming that they defined industry standards . . . It is nothing more than applying a small bit of common-sense engineering to a known problem.” The court noted that there were “other even simpler technical solutions which would have helped avoid this situation.” The company had led no credible evidence that the engineering solution was an “unproven innovation” or an “incomplete engineering solution” that they could not reasonably have identified before the accident.

The court considered the evidence about the post-accident fix to be relevant, admissible and important. The court found the company guilty on both charges.

*R. v. Precision Drilling Canada Limited*, 2015 ABPC 115 (CanLII)



## OHSA duties did not require employer to issue public response to “smear campaign” against non-racialized jail employees: adjudicator



Posted on Oct 30th, 2015 By Adrian Miedema  
Categories: [Caselaw Developments](#), [Violence and Harassment](#)

Share this post: [Twitter](#) [Facebook](#) [LinkedIn](#) [StumbleUpon](#) [Email](#)

An adjudicator has held that the *Occupational Health and Safety Act's* “general duty” clause did not require an employer to issue a public response to a “smear campaign” by one employee and his lawyer against non-racialized employees of a jail which caused them emotional stress.

The employee (a correctional officer) and his lawyer made public statements that were reported by the media. Among the lawyer's statements was the following, as quoted on a website and in a newspaper article:

*“There is a public interest in rooting white supremacists out of a jail,” Falconer said. “Keep in mind that in addition to being in a position to harass their fellow racialized officers, these white supremacist officers are in charge of inmates, often inmates that are black.”*

The statements by the employee and his lawyer were in relation to an application that the employee had commenced against the Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services and his union at the Human Rights Tribunal of Ontario. The union claimed that the statements “fanned racial tension” in the workplace which had abated considerably in the past few years.

The adjudicator held that the reasonable inference to be drawn from the quoted statements was that some non-racialized correctional officers were responsible for the racist hate letters sent anonymously from 2005 onwards to white racialized correctional officers at the jail.

**SUBSCRIBE NOW!**

Receive our latest blog posts by email.

You can unsubscribe at any time. Email messages will be sent by Campaign Monitor on behalf of Dentons.

Or you can also subscribe via **RSS**



**CATEGORIES**

[Amendments to Safety Laws](#)

[Caselaw Developments](#)

# Thank you

The logo for Dentons, featuring the word "DENTONS" in white, uppercase letters inside a purple arrow-shaped box pointing to the right.

Dentons Canada LLP  
77 King Street West  
Suite 400  
Toronto, Ontario M5K 0A1  
Canada

---

Dentons is a global law firm driven to provide a competitive edge in an increasingly complex and interconnected world. A top 20 firm on the Acritas 2014 Global Elite Brand Index, Dentons is committed to challenging the status quo in delivering consistent and uncompromising quality in new and inventive ways. Dentons' clients now benefit from 3,000 lawyers and professionals in more than 80 locations spanning 50-plus countries. With a legacy of legal experience that dates back to 1742 and builds on the strengths of our foundational firms—Salans, Fraser Milner Casgrain (FMC), SNR Denton and McKenna Long & Aldridge—the Firm serves the local, regional and global needs of private and public clients. [www.dentons.com](http://www.dentons.com).

© 2015 Dentons. Dentons is a global legal practice providing client services worldwide through its member firms and affiliates. This document is not designed to provide legal or other advice and you should not take, or refrain from taking, action based on its content. We are providing information to you on the basis you agree to keep it confidential. If you give us confidential information but do not instruct or retain us, we may act for another client on any matter to which that confidential information may be relevant. Please see [dentons.com](http://dentons.com) for Legal Notices.