# Structured Finance & Securitisation

In 13 jurisdictions worldwide

Contributing editor

Patrick D Dolan





# Structured Finance & Securitisation 2015

Contributing editor
Patrick D Dolan
Dechert LLP

Publisher Gideon Roberton gideon.roberton@lbresearch.com

Subscriptions Sophie Pallier subscriptions@gettingthedealthrough.com

Business development managers Alan Lee alan.lee@lbresearch.com

Adam Sargent adam.sargent@lbresearch.com

Dan White dan.white@lbresearch.com





Published by Law Business Research Ltd 87 Lancaster Road London, W11 1QQ, UK Tel: +44 20 3708 4199 Fax: +44 20 7229 6910

© Law Business Research Ltd 2015 No photocopying: copyright licences do not apply. First published 2015 ISSN 2058-5594 The information provided in this publication is general and may not apply in a specific situation. Legal advice should always be sought before taking any legal action based on the information provided. This information is not intended to create, nor does receipt of it constitute, a lawyer-client relationship. The publishers and authors accept no responsibility for any acts or omissions contained herein. Although the information provided is accurate as of March 2015, be advised that this is a developing area.

Printed and distributed by Encompass Print Solutions Tel: 0844 2480 112



#### CONTENTS

Global Overview	5	Mexico	38
Patrick D Dolan Dechert LLP		Andrés Nieto Von Wobeser y Sierra, SC	
Canada	7	Nigeria	42
Elana M Hahn, William (Bill) K Jenkins and Dennis R Wiebe Dentons Canada LLP	<u> </u>		
Denmark	12	Russia	46
Michael Steen Jensen and Mikkel Fritsch Gorrissen Federspiel	Konstantin Kroll Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP		
France	17	Singapore	51
Olivier Hubert De Pardieu Brocas Maffei	Matthew Hebburn, Lian Chuan Yeoh, Louise Hennesse and Ming Wei Loo Allen & Overy LLP		
India	22		
Justin Bharucha, Sugandha Kamal and Eva Tanna		Turkey	56
Bharucha & Partners		<b>Hakan Yazıcı and Pınar Başdan</b> YazıcıLegal	
Japan	27		
Motohiro Yanagawa, Takashi Tsukioka and Yushi Hegawa		United Kingdom	61
Nagashima Ohno & Tsunematsu		<b>Sanjev Warna-kula-suriya, Tolek Petch and Rory Botros</b> Slaughter and May	
Malta	33		
Richard Ambery and Nicholas Curmi		United States	66
Ganado Advocates		Patrick D Dolan and Kira N Brereton Dechert LLP	

# **Preface**

### Structured Finance & Securitisation 2015

First edition

**Getting the Deal Through** is delighted to publish the first edition of *Structured Finance & Securitisation*, which is available in print, as an e-book, via the GTDT iPad app, and online at www.gettingthedealthrough.com.

**Getting the Deal Through** provides international expert analysis in key areas of law, practice and regulation for corporate counsel, cross-border legal practitioners, and company directors and officers.

Throughout this edition, and following the unique **Getting the Deal Through** format, the same key questions are answered by leading practitioners in each of the 13 jurisdictions featured.

**Getting the Deal Through** titles are published annually in print. Please ensure you are referring to the latest edition or to the online version at www.gettingthedealthrough.com.

Every effort has been made to cover all matters of concern to readers. However, specific legal advice should always be sought from experienced local advisers.

**Getting the Deal Through** gratefully acknowledges the efforts of all the contributors to this volume, who were chosen for their recognised expertise. We also extend special thanks to Patrick D Dolan of Dechert LLP, the contributing editor, for his assistance in devising and editing this volume.

GETTING THE WOOD DEAL THROUGH

London March 2015

www.gettingthedealthrough.com

Dentons Canada LLP CANADA

# Canada

#### Elana M Hahn, William (Bill) K Jenkins and Dennis R Wiebe

**Dentons Canada LLP** 

#### General

#### What legislation governs securitisation in your jurisdiction? Has your jurisdiction enacted a specific securitisation law?

No. Canada does not have a specific securitisation law at either the federal or provincial levels. Various aspects of securitisation legal structures and documentation, and the consumer contracts underlying securitisations, are governed by common law and federal and provincial statutes, as further discussed herein. Some parties to securitisations are regulated entities (see questions 4 and 5).

# 2 Does your jurisdiction define which types of transactions constitute securitisations?

No. As Canada does not have a specific securitisation law, there is no general Canadian law definition of 'a securitisation'. However, aspects of securitisations are governed by Canadian common law and federal and provincial statutes, and some of the parties to securitisations are regulated entities in Canada, as outlined herein. Some of these Canadian statutes and regulations contain definitions of securitisation concepts for their purposes.

## 3 How large is the market for securitisations in your jurisdiction?

According to the Dominion Bond Rating Service (DBRS) Securitization Servicer Report (Canadian Securitization Market Overview; November 2014), as of 30 November 2014, the total amount of securitisations outstanding in the Canadian market increased by 1.9 per cent to \$89.1 billion.

#### Regulation

## 4 Which body has responsibility for the regulation of securitisation?

Canada does not have a specific securitisation law at the federal or provincial level (see question 1); therefore, there is not a single regulatory body in Canada that has responsibility for securitisation per se. However, various regulatory bodies at the federal and provincial levels have responsibility for the administration of statutes that are relevant to securitisation legal structures, and documentation and the consumer contracts underlying securitisations. Also, certain parties to securitisations in Canada are regulated entities, and their activities (including securitisations) are regulated – for example, financial institutions are regulated by the Office of the Superintendent of Financial Institutions (OSFI). Certain public sector securitisation programmes, such as the National Housing Act residential mortgage-backed securities programme (NHA MBS) of Canada's housing agency (the Canada Mortgage and Housing Corporation (CMHC)), are quasi-regulated through the requirements of the CMHC.

#### 5 Must originators or issuers be licensed?

Canada does not have a specific securitisation law at the federal or provincial level, and therefore, Canadian originators or issuers are not required to be licensed in order to engage in securitisation per se. However, certain parties to securitisations in Canada are regulated entities – for example, financial institutions and their activities (including holding and servicing of consumer receivables and engaging in securitisation) are regulated by the OSFI. Similarly, trustees must be licensed in any provinces in which they engage in the trustee business. Certain Canadian provinces have

collection agency statutes or mortgage broker licensing requirements that may apply to any entity that collects mortgages or other receivables. The applicability of Canadian bank, servicer and trustee licensing requirements on the securitisation structure must be looked at on a case-by-case basis, particularly in the case of a non-Canadian issuer, since this depends on the nature of the parties, the receivables, the jurisdiction of the parties and the receivables, the servicing structure, and the nature of the sale.

# 6 What will the regulator consider before granting, refusing or withdrawing authorisation?

Not applicable.

#### What sanctions can the regulator impose?

Not applicable.

#### Eligibility

## 8 Outside licensing considerations, are there any restrictions on which entities can be originators?

There are no general Canadian legal restrictions on which entities can be originators. However, in Canadian securitisations, like in other jurisdictions, there will be practical, commercial and marketing considerations as to which type of entity will be acceptable or appealing to investors and will support a credit rating of the securities.

#### 9 What types of receivables or other assets can be securitised?

There are no general Canadian legal restrictions on which receivables or other assets can be securitised. However, in Canadian securitisations, like in other jurisdictions, there will be practical, commercial and marketing considerations as to which type of entity will be acceptable or appealing to investors and will support a credit rating of the securities. In particular, like in other jurisdictions, the assets must have a predictable payment and default pattern to generate a steady cash flow and provide sufficient collateralisation for the issued securities.

# 10 Are there any limitations on the classes of investors that can participate in an offering in a securitisation transaction?

There are no general Canadian legal restrictions on the classes of investors that can participate in a securitisation offering. However, in Canadian securitisations, like in other jurisdictions, there may be practical, commercial and marketing considerations of the originator, issuer and underwriter as to which types of investors the securitisation will be offered to – for example, whether the securities will be broadly marketed publicly or only marketed to institutional investors as a private placement.

#### 11 Who may act as custodian, account bank and portfolio administrator or servicer for the securitised assets and the securities?

There are no general Canadian legal restrictions on who may act in these roles. However, depending on the nature and location of the receivables and the parties, the parties playing these roles may need to be licensed (see question 5). Also, in Canadian securitisations, as in other jurisdictions, there may be practical, commercial and marketing considerations of the originator, issuer and underwriter, and credit rating agency requirements as to which parties may perform these roles.

CANADA Dentons Canada LLP

# 12 Are there any special considerations for securitisations with a public-sector element?

Certain Canadian public-sector securitisation programmes, such as the NHA MBS programme of the CMHC (see question 4), are quasi-regulated. Receivables due from the federal government and from certain provincial governments are generally not assignable (including to a securitisation special purpose vehicle (SPV)) unless certain procedural steps are taken under the Financial Administration Act or analogous provincial legislation.

#### **Transactional issues**

#### 13 Which forms can special purpose vehicles take in a securitisation transaction?

Canada does not have specific laws pertaining to securitisation SPVs. There are a range of securitisation legal structures used in Canada that use a range of SPV entities (including corporations or partnerships). The most common SPV entity used in Canadian securitisations is a common law trust.

# 14 What is involved in forming the different types of SPVs in your jurisdiction?

A common law trust SPV can be formed quickly and easily (at little legal cost) using a standard Declaration of Trust document in which a settlor designates an SPV trustee. The trustee will be a licensed entity that typically will be required to meet minimum independence and credit quality requirements (see questions 5 and 22). In cases of corporate or partnership SPVs, those entities can also be formed quickly, easily and inexpensively.

# 15 Is it possible to stipulate which jurisdiction's law applies to the assignment of receivables to the SPV?

Matters of contract law, such as receivables purchase agreements, are governed by provincial laws in Canada. Canadian provincial laws do not require a sale of receivables to be governed by the same law as the law governing the receivables. A Canadian court should recognise the choice of a foreign law, provided that the choice of law is bona fide and there are no public policy grounds for avoiding it. However, there are a number of limitations to how foreign law would be applied in a Canadian court, including but not limited to the following:

- the court will apply Canadian provincial law to any procedural aspects of a matter:
- the court may only give effect to foreign law if it is pleaded and proven by expert testimony; and
- the court will apply Canadian provincial laws that have overriding effect (for example, certain provisions of the Personal Property Security Act (PPSA) in each province relating to enforcement).

Aside from recognising a choice of law, a Canadian court should recognise that a sale under foreign law is effective against the seller and other third parties in Canada as a true sale, provided that the Canadian law requirements for a true sale are satisfied (see question 32). However, while choice of law and true sale may be recognised by a Canadian court, as a practical matter, a true sale opinion is typically required for securitisations, and Canadian lawyers are only able to opine on the enforceability of a receivables purchase agreement governed by Canadian law for these purposes. For these reasons, the parties will often choose Canadian provincial law as the governing law for the receivables purchase agreement when the securitisation involves a seller located in Canada and a true sale opinion is required. Also, regardless of choice of law governing the sale, see questions 17 and 18 as to the perfection requirements for a sale of receivables located in Canada to be effective.

# 16 May an SPV acquire new assets or transfer its assets after issuance of its securities? Under what conditions?

Yes; under Canadian law, a seller may sell to an SPV receivables that are acquired or originated after issuance of its securities. While the SPV may commit to sell future receivables at the time of issuance of its securities, the sale is only considered to occur when the receivable comes into existence. See question 27 as to identification. However, it should be noted that for any receivables that come into existence following the insolvency of the seller, there is a risk that the seller or an insolvency official may validly disclaim the sale.

#### 17 What are the registration requirements for a securitisation?

A securitisation per se does not need to be registered. However, the perfection of the sale of receivables to the SPV and of any security granted by the SPV is achieved through registration in relevant registries (see questions 18 and 25).

#### 18 Must obligors be informed of the securitisation? How is notification effected?

There is no general Canadian legal requirement for obligors to be informed of a securitisation. However, in order for the sale to be effective against an obligor located in Canada, the obligor must be notified of the sale. Nonetheless, subject to Quebec law requirements for perfecting sales of Quebec receivables (outlined below), this is not typically required for Canadian securitisations. To the extent that obligors are notified, there is no specific legal form or delivery method required by law. It should be noted that if the obligors of the underlying receivables are located outside Canada, the effectiveness of the assignment against the foreign obligor would be governed by the law of the jurisdiction where the obligor was located. Notice to the obligors is not required in order for the sale to be effective against the seller and its creditors, provided that perfection requirements under relevant provincial law were satisfied in provinces other than Quebec. Instead, perfection is achieved by registration under the province's PPSA (which deems an absolute assignment of receivables to be a security interest), by registering a financing statement in the PPSA registry. In Quebec, an assignment of a 'universality of claims' (ie, a sale of all receivables of a particular type generated by a seller between two specified dates) may also be perfected by registration. However, in cases of sales of receivables in Quebec that are not sales of a 'universality of claims', the transfer must be perfected by notice to the obligors. Special procedures must be followed to assign receivables from government obligors (see question 12).

#### 19 What information must issuers disclose to investors and prospective investors? How must it be disclosed?

The offering and trading of securities is governed by Canadian securities laws. Canadian securities laws are provincial, but the provincial regulators cooperate through the Canadian Securities Administrators (CSA) body. Provincial securities laws prescribe the form and content of prospectuses and, where prospectus exemptions are available, offering memoranda. The securities laws also prescribe the manner, content and frequency of continuous reporting obligations that apply once the securities are issued. The principal bifurcation of these rules pertaining to marketing and continuous disclosure relate to whether the securitisation is being broadly marketed publicly or is being offered on a private placement basis to institutional investors. See 'Update and trends' for securitisation disclosure reforms.

#### 20 What confidentiality and data protection measures are required to protect obligors in a securitisation? Is waiver of confidentiality possible?

The Personal Information Protection and Electronic Documents Act is federal legislation that applies to the use, collection and disclosure of personal information in Canada. Certain provinces have also enacted data protection laws. While these laws only relate to data pertaining to individuals, the definition of 'personal information' is very broad. Individual consents to collection, use and disclosure are constrained. In practice, caution is required in transferring, handling and storing data pertaining to consumer credit, and other receivables that contain personal information and portfolio data may need to be anonymised.

# 21 Are there any rules regulating the relationship between credit rating agencies and issuers? What factors do ratings agencies focus on in securitisations?

In 2012, National Instrument 25-101: Designated Rating Organizations (NI 25-101) came into force and, for the first time ever, subjects credit rating agencies to targeted regulation in Canada. NI 25-101 permits any credit rating organisation to apply to become a 'designated rating organisation' (DRO), and stipulates that a credit rating organisation must become a DRO for its ratings to be included in a Canadian offering document. NI 25-101 imposes certain requirements on DROs, including adoption and publication of a code of conduct; incorporating procedures to ensure ratings are based on a thorough analysis of all available information; the establishment of managerial oversight committees; and various ratings of integrity,

Dentons Canada LLP CANADA

transparency, governance and independence mechanisms. Under NI 25-101, DROs must not make a recommendation to an issuer about the corporate or legal structure, assets, liabilities or activities of the issuer, and DROs must disclose the details of compensation arrangements with the issuer. In addition, many of the credit rating agencies rating Canadian securitisations are US-headquartered (for example, Moody's Investors Service, Standard & Poor's Ratings Services and Fitch Ratings), and therefore will also be subject to US regulations applying to them extraterritorially. The factors that rating agencies focus on in Canadian securitisations are outlined in their global or North American ratings methodologies for the relevant asset class (subject to adjustment for any Canadian law and market practice particularities). The Canadian rating agency, DBRS, publishes specific Canadian securitisation ratings methodologies based on the global and North American ratings methodologies.

#### 22 What are the chief duties of directors and officers of SPVs? Must they be independent of the originator?

The most common SPV entity used in Canadian securitisations is a common law trust (see question 13). The trust's actions are carried out by the SPV trustee and, as such, there are no directors and officers of the SPV. The chief duties and obligations of the SPV trustee are governed by the Declaration of Trust and general Canadian common law and statutory law pertaining to trustees. In cases where a corporate or partnership entity is used in a securitisation, the obligations of the directors and officers of the SPV, or the general partner of the SPV, are no different than those that would exist at law more generally (by application of Canadian common law and relevant provincial or federal company or partnership statute provisions). This includes a fiduciary duty to the corporation they serve, and a duty of care. There is no specific Canadian legal requirement that the trustee or directors and officers must be independent of the originator entity. However, legal structuring and credit rating agencies' methodologies may impose certain independence requirements (see questions 11 and 31). In the case of financial institution originators who are seeking favourable Canadian capital treatment for the securitisation, OSFI Guidelines B-5 and B-5A create capital requirement disincentives for financial institutions setting up SPVs that are not fully independent. In cases where independence is required, a provision in the company's or partnership's constitutional documents to the effect that certain actions may not be taken without an independent director's approval should be legally effective, to preclude such action from being validly taken without such approval. A contractual restriction entered into by the SPV would mean that an action without such approval would be a breach of contract, but the action itself may not be invalid as a matter of corporate law.

# 23 Are there provisions requiring originators and arrangers to retain some exposure to risk in a securitisation?

Canada does not have such requirements. The CSA have taken the position that the Canadian securitisation market is, for the most part, free from incentive misalignment, due to a number of factors:

- a large portion of the Canadian securitisation market is comprised of government-guaranteed securitised products (such as the NHA MBS);
- Canadian securitisers are generally subject to prudential oversight;
- · the 'originate-to-distribute' model is not prevalent in Canada.

Canadian securitisations also use forms of credit enhancement, which the CSA suggests achieve the objectives of risk retention:

- · over-collateralisation;
- excess spread; and
- · cash reserve accounts that trap cash to pay investors.

As a result of these factors, the CSA has specifically stated that Canadian securities regulators will not be introducing mandatory credit risk retention. However, the CSA does take the position that issuers should disclose clearly to investors whether and how a securitisation has been structured to align the interests of the securitisation parties with investors, and the extent of any risk retention. It should be noted that, to the extent that the securities of a Canadian securitisation are offered to US or European investors, US or European risk retention rules may apply to the securitisation extraterritorially.

#### Security

# 24 What types of collateral/security are typically granted to investors in a securitisation in your jurisdiction?

The SPV typically enters into a trust indenture with an indenture trustee. The trust indenture (and, in some cases, other ancillary security documents) typically includes a grant of security in the receivables and any other assets held by the SPV (including any bank accounts) to the indenture trustee on behalf the bondholders and other secured creditors. In provinces other than Quebec, while security is granted by means of a written agreement, no particular document formalities need be followed. In Quebec, a Quebec law *hypothec* document must be used and formalities pertaining to the granting of a *hypothec* must be followed. Where security is taken in bank accounts, the method for taking security depends on the type of account and the transaction structure.

#### 25 How is the interest of investors in a securitisation in the underlying security perfected in your jurisdiction?

In provinces other than Quebec, perfection of security interests in personal property (including receivables and bank accounts) is achieved by registering a financing statement in the PPSA registry under each province's PPSA. Each PPSA requires the attachment of a security interest to the collateral for the security interest to be effective. The PPSAs provide that when attachment occurs - for example, a security interest in a receivable would 'attach' when the receivable comes into existence - value is given and the grantor has signed a security agreement in which the description is sufficient for the receivables to be identified. In Quebec, registration of the hypothec is required. A security interest in real property (including a mortgage) is perfected by registering the interest in the applicable provincial land titles registry system. Typically, this would not be done at the time of the closing of the securitisation. Instead, a power of attorney will be granted to the indenture trustee that will allow it to register the interest at a later date in the event that certain trigger events occur. In addition, there are specific statutes, such as the Bills of Exchange Act and the Securities Transfer Act of most provinces, which govern the perfection of assignments and security interests in specific types of assets. Whether or not these are relevant for a securitisation will depend on the relevant transaction structure and the types and location of assets over which security is being granted. Security interests in certain types of personal property may require the holder of the security interest to take possession or control of the asset. See question 18 regarding notice to obligors.

#### 26 How do investors enforce their security interest?

The PPSAs in provinces other than Quebec, and the Civil Code of Quebec, contain comprehensive rules dealing with the rights and remedies of creditors following default by their debtors. The rights of a secured party include, but are not limited to, the right to take possession of the collateral, the right to retain the collateral or the right to dispose of the collateral. The PPSAs also enumerate the rights and remedies of the debtor. These include, but are not limited to, the right to redeem the collateral or a right to reinstate the security agreement, and the right to receive notice of the creditors' intentions upon default. Each PPSA also specifies that, in addition to the rights and remedies enumerated in the PPSA, the principles of law and equity continue to apply, unless they are inconsistent with the express provisions of the legislation. Despite the differences in terminology, practices and procedures between Quebec and the PPSA provinces, in most cases, substantially the same or similar rights and remedies are available to creditors in Quebec as those that apply in PPSA jurisdictions.

# 27 Is commingling risk relating to collections an issue in your jurisdiction?

Co-mingling of collections can present an issue in Canadian securitisations. It is not necessary for each specific receivable to be identified in order for sales to be legally effective. However, the receivables purchase agreement must contain a sufficient description for receivables to be identified as belonging to the relevant class or classes of receivables. It should be noted, though, that this type of identification of receivables classes may affect whether the receivables are considered to be a 'universality of claims' under Quebec law (see question 18). As a practical matter, even if the securitisation documents contain a term that the seller is holding collections belonging to the purchaser on behalf of the purchaser, comingling of collections with the seller's assets can be a risk to the extent that the collections cannot be clearly identified.

CANADA Dentons Canada LLP

#### Update and trends

Since December 2009, in order for Canada to meet its G20 Commitments flowing from the global financial crisis, there has been an ongoing coordination of efforts by the Bank of Canada, the CSA, the OSFI and the Canadian Department of Finance to implement reforms to financial markets in line with the G20 Commitments.

In June 2012, the OSFI released Guideline B-20: Residential Mortgage Underwriting Practice and Procedures. The Guideline sets out the OSFI's expectations for prudent residential mortgage underwriting, and is applicable to all federally regulated financial institutions (FRFIs) that are engaged in residential mortgage underwriting or the acquisition of residential mortgage loan assets in Canada. The Guideline is part of efforts by the Canadian government to strengthen Canada's housing finance system. The Guideline covers residential mortgages, as well as home equity lines of credit, equity loans, and other products that use residential property as security. The Guideline identifies five fundamental principles for sound residential mortgage underwriting and outlines the OSFI's supervisory requirements. Since the end of the 2012 fiscal year, FRFIs must be in full compliance with the Guideline.

The CSA made a number of sweeping proposals in 2011 that, if adopted, would have required reporting issuers distributing securitised products to provide enhanced initial and ongoing disclosure. The disclosure requirements were intended to be consistent with the International Organization of Securities Commission's Disclosure Principles for Public Offerings and Listings of Asset Backed Securities, as well as the disclosure required for asset-backed securities by the

Securities and Exchange Commission's 'Regulation AB'. Based on overwhelming feedback received through the comment process that the 2011 measures were disproportionate to the risks posed by Canadian securitisation activity, the CSA determined that the proposed comprehensive reforms were unnecessary. Consequently, the proposed rules were abandoned in favour of a CSA proposal issued on 23 January to make the current short-term debt prospectus exemption unavailable for securitised products but to introduce a new prospectus exemption for short-term securitised conventional Asset-Backed Commercial Paper (ABCP) (known as the 'Short-Term Securitised Products Prospectus Exemption'). To qualify for the new prospectus exemption, an ABCP conduit will be required to satisfy certain requirements, including:

- · having prescribed liquidity support;
- · asset pools consisting exclusively of traditional asset classes;
- · making available an information memorandum; and
- · continuous disclosure, including a monthly disclosure report.

These proposals remain pending in the CSA's comment process. The CSA is continuing to consider whether it is necessary to issue additional regulatory guidance outlining expectations regarding disclosure relating to securitised products. However, for the foreseeable future, it appears that wholesale reform of Canada's securitisation-related disclosure regime is not going ahead.

#### Taxation

## 28 What are the primary tax considerations for originators in your jurisdiction?

The income tax considerations will be specific to each originator. Canadian originators pay income tax in Canada in accordance with income calculated in a manner conforming with Canadian generally accepted accounting principles. In 2010, the *Handbook of the Canadian Institute of Chartered Accountants* was revised to incorporate International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) and Accounting Standards for Private Companies (ASPE). Public companies are required to adopt IFRS, and non-public companies may choose to adopt either IFRS or ASPE. Specific provisions under Canada's Income Tax Act apply to certain types of originators – for example, there are rules for financial institutions holding and disposing of specified debt obligations. See question 29 as to the applicability of value added taxes to service fees and sales of tangible assets.

# 29 What are the primary tax considerations for issuers in your jurisdiction?

Federal goods and services tax and provincial sales tax are applicable to servicing fees and to the transfer of certain tangible assets in Canada. Consequently, it is most common in Canadian securitisations to structure the assignment and servicing of receivables so that the receivables are sold to the issuer on a fully serviced basis, without a separate servicing fee being paid. It is worth noting that, with respect to cross-border transactions involving non-Canadian issuers, Canada has eliminated withholding tax on interest paid to arm's-length lenders other than participating debt interest. Therefore, withholding tax is no longer a concern for interest revenue from Canadian receivables purchased by an issuer outside of Canada. However, in the case of a non-Canadian issuer, an intermediate Canadian SPV will, in any event, often be established to purchase the receivables in order to mitigate the risk of the non-Canadian issuer being subject to Canadian income tax by being considered to be 'doing business in Canada' through the ownership and servicing of Canadian receivables. However, this needs to be looked at on a case-by-case basis, since the question of whether an entity is considered to be 'carrying on business in Canada' is very dependent on the specific facts and circumstances. Withholding tax of 25 per cent continues to be applicable on cross-border lease, royalty and dividend payments, subject to certain exceptions and to reduction under specific bilateral treaties.

#### 30 What are the primary tax considerations for investors?

The income tax considerations will be specific to each investor, depending on where the investor is resident and in terms of how interest payments and sale, redemption or repayment of the bonds are treated in the investor's jurisdiction of residency. Canadian corporate investors pay income tax in Canada in accordance with income calculated in a manner according with Canadian generally accepted accounting principles. With respect to non-Canadian investors, Canada has eliminated withholding tax on interest paid to arm's-length lenders other than participating debt interest. Therefore, withholding tax is no longer a concern for interest payments to non-Canadian investors.

#### Bankruptcy

#### 31 How are SPVs made bankruptcy-remote?

In order to mitigate the risk of consolidation (see question 33), the SPV is typically established as an orphan trust under the control of an arm's-length trustee. If corporate or partnership entities are used in the securitisation structure, they will typically be set up to have one or more independent directors (see question 22). The SPV is typically set up in a manner that ensures that it is operationally distinct from the originator; for example:

- · it holds its own bank accounts;
- · its assets are not co-mingled with those of the originator;
- · it has its own financial statements prepared;
- corporate formalities are followed in transferring assets and interacting with originator; and
- · there are no originator guarantees.

Also, the SPV is set up in a manner to ensure, through its constitutional documents and contractual obligations, that it has no premises, no employees and only engages in the business of holding the receivables, issuing the bonds and related ancillary activities, such that it should have no creditors other than the securitisation creditors.

# 32 What factors would a court in your jurisdiction consider in making a determination of true sale of the underlying assets to the SPV (eg, absence of recourse for credit losses, arm's length)?

Generally speaking, Canadian courts should respect the intent of the parties for the transaction to be a sale, as evidenced by the documents, communications and conduct of the parties. In Canada's leading case on the re-characterisation of a sale as a secured loan, the court noted a number of factors including the transfer of risk, ability to identify the sold assets, level of recourse to the seller, any right of redemption by the seller or right to retain collections, responsibility for collections and ability to calculate the purchase price. The most important indicator for the sale being recharacterised as a true sale is the seller retaining a right of redemption in the assets or for the receivables to be sold back to it.

Dentons Canada LLP CANADA

# 33 What are the factors that a bankruptcy court would consider in deciding to consolidate the assets and liabilities of the originator and the SPV in your jurisdiction?

There are no substantive consolidation provisions in Canadian insolvency statutes, and there is very little Canadian case law on the topic. However, substantive consolidation does fall within the general equitable jurisdiction of a Canadian court in an insolvency proceeding; therefore, it is acknowledged that this is a theoretical legal risk in the case of insolvency.

The limited Canadian case law indicates that Canadian courts follow a balancing of prejudice test similar to the test used by US courts, in which the court weighs up the prejudice that will be suffered by creditors if there is no consolidation against the prejudice that the debtor will suffer from its imposition. In applying the balancing of prejudice test, the court will look at the facts and circumstances and a number of factors, including the extent to which the SPV is operationally distinct from the originator or seller (see question 31).

# **DENTONS**

Elana M Hahn William (Bill) K Jenkins Dennis R Wiebe

77 King Street West Suite 400 Toronto Ontario M5K 0A1 Canada elana.hahn@dentons.com bill.jenkins@dentons.com dennis.wiebe@dentons.com

Tel: +1 416 863 4511 Fax: +1 416 863 4592 www.dentons.com

#### Getting the Deal Through

Acquisition Finance

Advertising & Marketing

Air Transport

Anti-Corruption Regulation

Anti-Money Laundering

Arbitration

Asset Recovery

Aviation Finance & Leasing

Banking Regulation Cartel Regulation Climate Regulation

Construction Copyright

Corporate Governance Corporate Immigration

Cybersecurity

Data Protection & Privacy

Debt Capital Markets

Dispute Resolution

Domains & Domain Names

Dominance

e-Commerce

Electricity Regulation

Enforcement of Foreign Judgments

Environment

Foreign Investment Review

Franchise

Gas Regulation

Government Investigations

Insurance & Reinsurance

Insurance Litigation

Intellectual Property & Antitrust

**Investment Treaty Arbitration** 

Islamic Finance & Markets

Labour & Employment

Licensing

Life Sciences Mediation

Merger Control

Mergers & Acquisitions

Mining

Oil Regulation

Outsourcing

Pensions & Retirement Plans

Pharmaceutical Antitrust

Private Antitrust Litigation

Private Client

Private Equity

Product Liability

Product Recall

Project Finance

Public-Private Partnerships

Public Procurement

Real Estate

Restructuring & Insolvency

Right of Publicity

Securities Finance

Securities Litigation

Ship Finance

Shipbuilding

Shipping

State Aid

Structured Finance & Securitisation

Tax Controversy

Tax on Inbound Investment

Telecoms & Media

Trade & Customs

Trademarks

Transfer Pricing

Vertical Agreements

Also available digitally



# Online

www.gettingthedealthrough.com



# iPad app

Available on iTunes



& Securitisation

ISSN 2058-5594





